

ASYMPTOTICS OF THE ENTROPY PRODUCTION RATE FOR d -DIMENSIONAL ORNSTEIN-UHLENBECK PROCESSES

RAN WANG AND LIHU XU

Abstract

In the context of non-equilibrium statistical physics, the entropy production rate is an important concept to describe how far a specific state of a system is from its equilibrium state. In this paper, we establish a central limit theorem and a moderate deviation principle for the entropy production rate of d -dimensional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes, by the techniques of functional inequalities such as Poincaré inequality and log-Sobolev inequality. As an application, we obtain a law of iterated logarithm for the entropy production rate.

Keywords: Entropy production rate; Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process; Central limit theorem; Moderate deviation principle; Law of iterated logarithm.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 60F05; 60F10; 60G10.

1. INTRODUCTION

Entropy production rate (EPR) was first proposed in non-equilibrium statistical physics to describe how far a specific state of a system is from its equilibrium state ([9, 10, 12, 13, 16]), which plays a key role in thermodynamics of irreversible processes. EPR is usually defined by the relative entropy of the process with respect to its time reversal.

For stationary Markov processes, by ergodic theorem, the sample entropy production rate (see (2.6)) converges to the EPR almost surely. Furthermore, in many cases, the sample EPR has a large deviation property and the rate function has a symmetry of Gallavotti-Cohen type, see [8, 11, 12] and so on. Also see survey papers [7] and [17] for applications of large deviations in non-equilibrium systems.

However, there seem no other asymptotic results such as moderate deviation principle (MDP), central limit theorem (CLT) and law of iterated logarithm (LIL) for EPR. For the general high dimensional diffusion processes, it seems very hard to establish these asymptotics. The difficulties arise from the several points as follows. On the one hand, the sample EPR has a complicated form which includes the density function of the invariant probability measure (see (2.8) below). Usually the density function does not have an explicit expression or it is too complicated to use. On the other hand, due to the complicated form of sample EPR again, there are not known criteria directly applicable to prove MDP.

The aim of this paper is to partly fill in this gap by studying the above asymptotics for EPR of d -dimensional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes. Thanks to the nice form of the

density function of invariant measure, we use the techniques of functional inequalities such as Poincaré inequality and log-Sobolev inequality, to establish a CLT and an MDP. As an application of MDP, we obtain an LIL for EPR. To show LIL, we first sample a Markov chain from the process and prove its LIL, then fill in the gap between the chain. Due to the lack of independence, we sample the Markov chain after a suitable long time to get some weak dependence when proving LIL.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we first introduce the EPR for Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process, and then give the main results of this paper. In Section 3, we recall a criterion of CLT and MDP for additive functionals of Markov process, and then prove the first main result. In the last section, we prove LIL by the technique of MDP.

2. ORNSTEIN-UHLENBECK PROCESSES AND ENTROPY PRODUCTION RATE

We are concerned with the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck (OU) process $\xi = \{\xi_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ which is the solution of the following stochastic differential equation

$$(2.1) \quad d\xi_t = B\xi_t dt + \Sigma dW_t,$$

with the initial value ξ_0 , where $B = (b_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ and $\Sigma = (\sigma_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ are both constant matrices, and $\{W_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a d -dimensional Brownian motion on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ with a filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$. We assume that

$$(2.2) \quad \text{the diffusion coefficient } Q := \Sigma \Sigma^T \text{ is strictly positive definite.}$$

It is well known that the Markov process ξ has an invariant probability μ if and only if

$$(2.3) \quad \text{all the eigenvalues of } B \text{ have negative real parts,}$$

see [2, 14], and in that case

$$(2.4) \quad \mu = N(0, \Gamma),$$

the Gaussian distribution on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with mean vector 0 and covariance matrix

$$(2.5) \quad \Gamma = \int_0^\infty e^{sB} Q e^{sB^T} ds.$$

Notice that Γ is nonsingular under conditions (2.2) and (2.3). Let $\rho(x)$ be the probability density function of μ with respect to the Lebesgue measure dx on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Then

$$\rho(x) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} (\det \Gamma)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\langle x, \Gamma^{-1} x \rangle}{2} \right\}.$$

We refer to [10] for more details.

In this paper, we always assume that (2.2) and (2.3) hold, and the initial measure of ξ is the invariant measure μ . Thus, ξ is stationary. Let $\mathbf{P}_{[s,t]}$ and $\mathbf{P}_{[s,t]}^-$ be the distributions of $\{\xi_u\}_{s \leq u \leq t}$ and $\{\xi_{t+s-u}\}_{s \leq u \leq t}$ for any $0 \leq s \leq t$. Recall that the process $\xi = \{\xi_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is said to be **reversible** if $\mathbf{P}_{[s,t]} = \mathbf{P}_{[s,t]}^-$ for any $0 \leq s \leq t$. It is shown that the stationary OU process ξ is reversible if and only if the coefficients B and Q satisfy the symmetry condition $Q^{-1}B = (Q^{-1}B)^T$, see [2, 14]. Notice that when $d = 1$, $B < 0$, the OU process ξ is always reversible.

Now we give the definition and properties of the EPR of ξ , see [10] for details.

Definition 2.1. For the stationary diffusion process ξ , the **sample entropy production rate** is defined by

$$(2.6) \quad e_p(t)(\omega) := \frac{1}{t} \log \frac{d\mathbf{P}_{[0,t]}}{d\mathbf{P}_{[0,t]}^-}(\omega),$$

and the **entropy production rate** is defined by

$$(2.7) \quad e_p := \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbf{P}_{[0,t]}} [e_p(t)].$$

By the ergodic theorem of the stationary process, for $\mathbb{P}$ -almost every $\omega \in \Omega$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e_p(t)(\omega) = e_p.$$

By the well-known Cameron-Martin-Girsanov formula, one can prove that the two probability measures $\mathbf{P}_{[0,t]}$ and $\mathbf{P}_{[0,t]}^-$ on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_t)$ are equivalent. Moreover, for $\mathbb{P}$ -almost every $\omega \in \Omega$, the Radon-Nikodym derivative

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{d\mathbf{P}_{[0,t]}}{d\mathbf{P}_{[0,t]}^-}(\xi \cdot (\omega)) \\ &= \exp \left[\int_0^t (2Q^{-1}B\xi_s(\omega) - \nabla \log \rho(\xi_s)(\omega))^T \Sigma dW_s(\omega) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t ((2Q^{-1}B\xi_s(\omega) - \nabla \log \rho(\xi_s)(\omega))^T Q (2Q^{-1}B\xi_s(\omega) - \nabla \log \rho(\xi_s)(\omega)) ds \right], \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.9) \quad e_p = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (2Q^{-1}Bx - \nabla \log \rho(x))^T Q (2Q^{-1}Bx - \nabla \log \rho(x)) \rho(x) dx < +\infty.$$

In this paper, we shall investigate deviations of $e_p(t)$ from the EPR e_p , as t increases to $+\infty$, that is, the asymptotic behavior of

$$\frac{\sqrt{t}}{\lambda(t)} (e_p(t) - e_p), \quad t \geq 0,$$

where $\lambda(t)$ is some deviation scale which strongly influences the above asymptotic behavior:

- (1) if $\lambda(t) = 1$, we are in the domain of the central limit theorem (CLT);
- (2) the case $\lambda(t) = \sqrt{t}$ provides some large deviation principle (LDP) estimates;
- (3) to fill in the gap between the CLT scale and the large deviations scale, we shall study the so-called moderate deviation principle (MDP), see [5], that is when the deviation scale satisfies

$$(2.10) \quad \lambda(t) \rightarrow +\infty, \quad \lambda(t)/\sqrt{t} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +\infty.$$

For any $p > 1, l > 0$, let

$$(2.11) \quad U_\mu^p(l) := \left\{ \nu \in \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R}^d); \nu \ll \mu \text{ and } \left\| \frac{d\nu}{d\mu} \right\|_{L^p(\mu)} \leq l \right\},$$

here $\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the space of all probability measures on $\mathbb{R}^d$.

The first main result is the following theorem about CLT and MDP of EPR.

Theorem 2.2. *Under conditions (2.2) and (2.3), $e_p(t)$ has the following properties:*

- (1) $\mathbb{P}_\nu(\sqrt{t}[e_p(t) - e_p] \in \cdot)$ converges weakly to a centered Gaussian measure $N(0, \sigma^2)$ with variance σ^2 as $t \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly for the initial distributions $\nu \in U_\mu^p(l)$ for any $p > 1, l > 0$;
- (2) as $t \rightarrow +\infty$, $\mathbb{P}_\nu\left(\frac{\sqrt{t}}{\lambda(t)}[e_p(t) - e_p] \in \cdot\right)$ satisfies a large deviation principle with speed $\lambda^{-2}(t)$ and rate function $I(x) = x^2/(2\sigma^2)$, uniformly for the initial distributions $\nu \in U_\mu^p(l)$ for any $p > 1, l > 0$,

here the asymptotic variance $\sigma^2 := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t\mathbb{E}[e_p(t) - e_p]^2$ exists, and $\lambda(t)$ satisfies (2.10).

As an applicaiton of the above theorem, we establish the second main result about LIL in the theorem below:

Theorem 2.3. *Under conditions (2.2) and (2.3), we have*

$$(2.12) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \sqrt{\frac{t}{2 \log \log t}} (e_p(t) - e_p) = \sigma, \quad a.s.,$$

and

$$(2.13) \quad \liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \sqrt{\frac{t}{2 \log \log t}} (e_p(t) - e_p) = -\sigma, \quad a.s..$$

3. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 2.2

3.1. A Criterion of CLT and MDP. First, recall the definition of LDP and MDP, see [5] and [19]. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a complete separable metric space, $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ the Borel σ -field of $\mathcal{X}$, and $\{X_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ a family of stochastic processes valued in $\mathcal{X}$.

Definition 3.1. (1) $\{X_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ satisfies a large deviation principle (LDP) if there exist a family of positive numbers $\{h(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ which tends to $+\infty$ and a function $I(x)$ which maps $\mathcal{X}$ into $[0, +\infty]$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) for each $l < +\infty$, the level set $\{x : I(x) \leq l\}$ is compact in $\mathcal{X}$;
- (ii) for each closed subset F of $\mathcal{X}$,

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h(t)} \log \mathbb{P}(X_t \in F) \leq - \inf_{x \in F} I(x);$$

- (iii) for each open subset G of $\mathcal{X}$,

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h(t)} \log \mathbb{P}(X_t \in G) \geq - \inf_{x \in G} I(x),$$

here $h(t)$ is called the speed function and $I(x)$ is the rate function.

(2) $\{X_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ satisfies a moderate deviation principle (MDP), if $\{\frac{\sqrt{t}}{\lambda(t)}(X_t - \mathbb{E}X_t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ satisfies a large deviation principle, for a family of positive numbers $\lambda(t)$ such that

$$\lambda(t) \rightarrow +\infty, \quad \lambda(t)/\sqrt{t} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Next, we recall a criterion of CLT and MDP for additive functionals of Markov process in [19].

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, (X_t)_{t \geq 0}, (\theta_t)_{t \geq 0}, (\mathbb{P}_x)_{x \in \mathcal{X}})$ be a Markov process, and $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be associated semigroup of Markov kernels with $P_0(x, \cdot) = \delta_x$. We further assume that $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is continuous and $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ possesses an invariant measure μ . For simplicity, we write $\mathbb{P}_\mu := \int \mathbb{P}_x \mu(dx)$, $\mu(\cdot)$ is the usual integral in $L^1(\mu)$, and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\mu$ the usual inner production in $L^2(\mu)$.

Let $A = (A_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be an additive functional (i.e., $A_{t+s} = A_t + A_s \circ \theta_t, \forall t, s \geq 0$) such that A_t is $\mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable, $\forall t \geq 0$. For any measurable function g , let

$$(3.1) \quad P_t^A g(x) := \mathbb{E}^x g(X_t) e^{A_t}.$$

Theorem 3.2. [19, Theorem 2.4'] *Assume that there exist $t_i > 0, i = 1, 2, 3$, satisfying that*

- (1) *1 is an isolated, simple and the only eigenvalue with modulus 1 of P_{t_1} in $L^2(\mu)$;*
- (2) *P_{t_2} is hyperbounded, i.e.,*

$$\exists q > p > 1 \text{ so that } P_{t_2} : L^p(\mu) \rightarrow L^q(\mu) \text{ is bounded;}$$

- (3) *there exists $\delta > 0$ such that*

$$\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t_3} \mathbb{E}_\mu \exp(\delta |A_s|) < \infty.$$

Then the following limit exists

$$V(A) := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} [\mathbb{E}_\mu A_t^2 - (\mathbb{E}_\mu A_t)^2] < +\infty,$$

and as $t \rightarrow +\infty$,

- (i) $\mathbb{P}_\nu \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} [A_t - \mathbb{E}_\mu(A_t)] \in \cdot \right)$ *converges weakly to a centered Gaussian measure $N(0, V(A))$ with variance $V(A)$, uniformly for the initial distributions $\nu \in U_\mu^p(l)$ for any $p > 1, l > 0$,*
- (ii) $\mathbb{P}_\nu \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t\lambda(t)}} [A_t - \mathbb{E}_\mu(A_t)] \in \cdot \right)$ *satisfies an LDP with speed $\lambda^2(t)$ and rate function $I(x) = x^2/(2V(A))$, uniformly for the initial distributions $\nu \in U_\mu^p(l)$ for any $p > 1, l > 0$,*

where $U_\mu^p(l)$ is defined in (2.11) and $\lambda(t)$ satisfies (2.10).

3.2. The proof for Theorem 2.2. To prove Theorem 2.2, it suffices to verify the conditions of Theorem 3.2 for the stationary OU semigroup $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ and for the additive functional $A_t := t \cdot e_p(t)$, which is

$$(3.2) \quad A_t = \int_0^t (2Q^{-1}B\xi_s - \nabla \log \rho(\xi_s))^T \Sigma dW_s \\ + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t (2Q^{-1}B\xi_s - \nabla \log \rho(\xi_s))^T Q (2Q^{-1}B\xi_s - \nabla \log \rho(\xi_s)) ds.$$

These will be done in this section by the techniques of functional inequalities, such as Poincaré inequality and log-Sobolev inequality, see [1, 18].

It is well-known that the centered Gaussian measure μ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with covariance matrix Γ satisfies **Poincaré inequality**

$$(3.3) \quad \int f^2 d\mu - \left(\int f d\mu \right)^2 \leq \int \Gamma \nabla f \cdot \nabla f d\mu,$$

and **log-Sobolev inequality**

$$(3.4) \quad \int f^2 \log f^2 d\mu - \int f^2 d\mu \log \int f^2 d\mu \leq 2 \int \Gamma \nabla f \cdot \nabla f d\mu,$$

for every smooth function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, see [1, Page 181, Page 258].

The generator of OU semigroup $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \nabla \cdot Q \nabla + Bx \cdot \nabla \quad \text{with dominant } \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L}).$$

For any given $t_0 > 0$, the time reversal $\xi^- = \{\xi_{t_0-t}\}_{0 \leq t \leq t_0}$ of the stationary diffusion ξ over the time interval $[0, t_0]$ is also stationary. Its infinitesimal generator is

$$\mathcal{L}^- = \frac{1}{2} \nabla \cdot Q \nabla + (-Bx + Q \nabla \log \rho) \cdot \nabla \quad \text{with dominant } \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L}^-).$$

See [10, Theorem 3.3.5].

When d -dimensional stationary OU process ξ is not reversible, the generator $\mathcal{L}$ is not self-adjoint. For $f, g \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L}) \cap L^2(\mu)$, consider the symmetrized Dirichlet form

$$\mathcal{E}(f, g) := \frac{1}{2} [\langle -\mathcal{L}f, g \rangle_\mu + \langle -\mathcal{L}^-f, g \rangle_\mu].$$

Using the formula of integration by parts, we obtain that

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle -\mathcal{L}f, f \rangle_\mu &= \mathcal{E}(f, f) = \frac{1}{2} \langle Q \nabla f, \nabla f \rangle_\mu \\ &\geq \frac{\lambda_{\min}(Q)}{2\lambda_{\max}(\Gamma)} \langle \Gamma \nabla f, \nabla f \rangle_\mu, \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda_{\min}(Q)$ is the minimum eigenvalue of Q , $\lambda_{\max}(\Gamma)$ is the maximum eigenvalue of Γ (see (2.5)).

Now we verify the conditions in Theorem 3.2.

3.2.1. Condition (1). Putting (3.3) and (3.5) together, we have

$$\int f^2 d\mu - \left(\int f d\mu \right)^2 \leq \frac{2\lambda_{\max}(\Gamma)}{\lambda_{\min}(Q)} \langle -\mathcal{L}f, f \rangle_\mu.$$

By [18, Section 1.1], this is equivalent to that: for every $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ in $L^2(\mu)$ with $\mu(f) = 0$,

$$\int (P_t f)^2 d\mu \leq \exp \left(-\frac{\lambda_{\min}(Q)}{\lambda_{\max}(\Gamma)} t \right) \int f^2 d\mu,$$

which implies that condition (1) holds for any $t > 0$.

3.2.2. Condition (2). For reversible Markov processes, by the celebrated Gross theory, the log-Sobolev inequality is equivalent to the hypercontractivity of the semigroup $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$, which is stronger than hyperboundness in Condition 2 of Theorem 3.2, see [1, Section 5.2]. However, this equivalent relationship does not always hold in the non-reversible case.

Fortunately, the d -dimensional OU semigroup $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ also has the the following hypercontractivity by [6].

Lemma 3.3. [6, Theorem 2.2, Proposition 4.2]. *For every $t > 0$, there exist $q > p > 1$ such that the OU semigroup $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is bounded from $L^p(\mu)$ to $L^q(\mu)$ and*

$$\|P_t f\|_{L^q(\mu)} \leq \|f\|_{L^p(\mu)}, \quad \forall f \in L^p(\mu).$$

3.2.3. Condition (3). In this step, we prove the following stronger result, which implies Condition (3).

Proposition 3.4. *There exist $\delta > 0, c > 0$ such that for any $t > 0$,*

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{E}_\mu \exp(\delta |A_t|) \leq c.$$

Proof. Let

$$M_t := \int_0^t (2Q^{-1}B\xi_s - \nabla \log \rho(\xi_s))^T \Sigma dW_s.$$

Then the quadratic variation process of local martingale M_t is

$$\langle M \rangle_t = \int_0^t [2Q^{-1}B\xi_s - \nabla \log \rho(\xi_s)]^T Q [2Q^{-1}B\xi_s - \nabla \log \rho(\xi_s)] ds,$$

and

$$A_t = M_t + \frac{1}{2} \langle M \rangle_t.$$

For any $s \in [0, t], \delta \in (0, 1)$, by Hölder's inequality, we have

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_\mu[\exp(\delta |A_s|)] &\leq \mathbb{E}_\mu \left[\exp \left(\delta |M_s| + \frac{\delta}{2} \langle M \rangle_s \right) \right] \\ &\leq \sqrt{\mathbb{E}_\mu [\exp(2\delta |M_s|)] \cdot \mathbb{E}_\mu [\exp(\delta \langle M \rangle_s)]} \\ &\leq \sqrt{\mathbb{E}_\mu [\exp(2\delta M_s) + \exp(-2\delta M_s)] \cdot \mathbb{E}_\mu [\exp(\delta \langle M \rangle_s)]}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we assume that

$$(3.8) \quad \mathbb{E}_\mu [\exp(2\delta \langle M \rangle_t)] \leq e^{ct}, \quad \text{for some constant } c \in (0, +\infty).$$

By the Novikov's criterion [15, Page 332], the local martingales $(\exp(\pm 2\delta M_s - 2\delta^2 \langle M \rangle_s))_{0 \leq s \leq t}$ are martingales. Then for all $s \in [0, t]$,

$$\mathbb{E}_\mu[\exp(\pm 2\delta M_s)] = \mathbb{E}_\mu [\exp(2\delta^2 \langle M \rangle_s)] \leq e^{ct}.$$

This inequality, together with inequality (3.7), implies the desired result (3.6).

Now, it remains to prove (3.8). Denote

$$\tilde{Q} = (2Q^{-1}B + \Gamma^{-1})^T Q (2Q^{-1}B + \Gamma^{-1}).$$

Then

$$\langle M \rangle_t \leq \lambda_{\max}(\tilde{Q}) \int_0^t |\xi(s)|^2 ds,$$

where $\lambda_{\max}(\tilde{Q})$ is the maximum eigenvalue of $\tilde{Q}$. To prove (3.8), it is sufficient to prove that there exists $c > 0, \eta > 0$ such that

$$(3.9) \quad \mathbb{E}_\mu \left[\exp \left(\eta \int_0^t |\xi(s)|^2 ds \right) \right] \leq e^{ct}, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Next, we shall prove (3.9). For any μ -integrable function $V : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, consider the Feynman-Kac semigroup

$$P_t^V f(x) = \mathbb{E}^x f(\xi_t) \cdot \exp \left(\int_0^t V(\xi_s) ds \right),$$

where $f \geq 0$ is Borel measurable. Define the norm

$$\|P_t^V\|_2 := \sup\{\|P_t^V f\|_{L^2(\mu)}; f \geq 0 \text{ and } \langle f^2 \rangle_\mu \leq 1\}.$$

Taking $f \equiv 1$, by Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we obtain that

$$(3.10) \quad \mathbb{E}_\mu \exp \left(\int_0^t V(\xi_s) ds \right) \leq \|P_t^V\|_2.$$

Now, we prove (3.9), based on the following result from [20].

Lemma 3.5. [20, Corollary 4] *Assume the log-Sobolev inequality holds, i.e., there exists $c > 0$ such that for all $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L})$,*

$$\int f^2 \log f^2 d\mu - \int f^2 d\mu \log \int f^2 d\mu \leq c \langle -\mathcal{L}f, f \rangle_\mu.$$

Then for any $V \in L^1(\mu)$,

$$\frac{1}{t} \log \|P_t^V\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{c} \log \int e^{cV} d\mu.$$

Combining (3.4) and (3.5), and applying Lemma 3.5 and (3.10) to $V(x) = \eta|x|^2$ for some positive number η (to be determined later), we have

$$\frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{E}_\mu \left[\exp \left(\eta \int_0^t |\xi_s|^2 ds \right) \right] \leq \frac{\lambda_{\min}(Q)}{4\lambda_{\max}(\Gamma)} \log \int e^{\frac{4\lambda_{\max}(\Gamma)}{\lambda_{\min}(Q)} \eta |x|^2} \mu(dx).$$

The above integral is finite, once

$$(3.11) \quad \eta < \frac{\lambda_{\min}(Q)}{8\lambda_{\max}^2(\Gamma)}.$$

Thus, the inequality (3.9) holds for all η satisfying (3.11). The proof is complete. $\square$

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.3

For any $t \geq 0$, we define the process

$$(4.1) \quad S_t = t \cdot (e_p(t) - e_p).$$

As $t \rightarrow +\infty$, by the CLT in Theorem 2.2, $S_t/\sqrt{2t \log \log t}$ will go to 0 in probability with respect to $\mathbb{P}$, but the convergence will not be almost sure. Before proving LIL, we shall establish some auxiliary lemmas below.

4.1. Auxiliary lemmas. Recall that the unique solution of (2.1) can be expressed as

$$(4.2) \quad \xi_t = e^{tB} \xi_0 + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)B} D dW_s.$$

It is a Markov process on $\mathbb{R}^d$ whose transition semigroup is given by

$$(4.3) \quad P_t f(x) := \mathbb{E}[f(\xi_t) | \xi_0 = x] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(e^{tB}x + y) d\mu_t(y),$$

where $\{\mu_t; t \geq 0\}$ is a family of centered Gaussian measures on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with covariance matrix

$$(4.4) \quad \Gamma_t = \int_0^t e^{sB} Q e^{sB^T} ds.$$

Notice that $\Gamma - \Gamma_t$ is positive definite.

Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} S_t &= \int_0^t (2Q^{-1}B\xi_s - \nabla \log \rho(\xi_s))^T \Sigma dW_s \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \left[\frac{1}{2} (2Q^{-1}B\xi_s - \nabla \log \rho(\xi_s))^T Q (2Q^{-1}B\xi_s - \nabla \log \rho(\xi_s)) - e_p \right] ds. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.1. *For any $t, s > 1, x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that*

$$\mathbb{E}[|S_{t+s} - S_s|^2 | \xi_s = x] \leq c(1 + t^2 + t|x|^4).$$

Proof. By the Markov property of ξ , it suffices to prove the lemma for $s = 0$. By (4.3), as $\xi_0 = x$, the law of ξ_t is the Gaussian measure on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with mean $e^{tB}x$ and covariance matrix Γ_t . Then the next inequalities follow immediately from the properties of the multivariate Gaussian distribution,

$$\mathbb{E}[|\xi_t|^2 | \xi_0 = x] \leq e^{2\lambda_0(B)t} |x|^2 + d\lambda_{\max}(\Gamma),$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}[|\xi_t|^4 | \xi_0 = x] \leq c(e^{4\lambda_0(B)t} |x|^4 + d^2 \lambda_{\max}^2(\Gamma)),$$

where $\lambda_0(B) = \max\{\text{the real part of all eigenvalues of } B\} < 0$ by (2.3), $\lambda_{\max}(\Gamma)$ is the maximum principle eigenvalue of Γ . By the above inequalities and Hölder's inequality,

there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}[S_t^2 | \xi_0 = x] \\
& \leq 2\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t (2Q^{-1}B\xi_s - \nabla \log \rho(\xi_s))^T \Sigma dW_s \right)^2 \middle| \xi_0 = x \right] \\
& + 2\mathbb{E} \left\{ \left[\int_0^t \left(\frac{1}{2} (2Q^{-1}B\xi_s - \nabla \log \rho(\xi_s))^T Q (2Q^{-1}B\xi_s - \nabla \log \rho(\xi_s)) - e_p \right) ds \right]^2 \middle| \xi_0 = x \right\} \\
& \leq 2\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t (2Q^{-1}B\xi_s - \nabla \log \rho(\xi_s))^T Q (2Q^{-1}B\xi_s - \nabla \log \rho(\xi_s)) ds \middle| \xi_0 = x \right] \\
& + 2t\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t \left(\frac{1}{2} (2Q^{-1}B\xi_s - \nabla \log \rho(\xi_s))^T Q (2Q^{-1}B\xi_s - \nabla \log \rho(\xi_s)) - e_p \right)^2 ds \middle| \xi_0 = x \right] \\
& \leq c\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t (1 + |\xi_s|^2) ds \middle| \xi_0 = x \right] + ct\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t (1 + |\xi_s|^4) ds \middle| \xi_0 = x \right] \\
& \leq c(1 + t^2 + t|x|^4).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4.2. *For any $p \geq 2$, there exists a constant $C_p \in (0, +\infty)$ such that*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{n \leq t \leq n+1} |S_t - S_n|^p \right] \leq C_p, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. By the Markov property of process ξ , we only need to prove this lemma for $n = 0$. For any $p \geq 2, t \in (0, 1)$, there exists a constant $c_p > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
|S_t|^p & \leq c_p \left| \int_0^t (2Q^{-1}B\xi_s - \nabla \log \rho(\xi_s))^T \Sigma dW_s \right|^p \\
& + c_p \left| \int_0^t \left[\frac{1}{2} (2Q^{-1}B\xi_s - \nabla \log \rho(\xi_s))^T Q (2Q^{-1}B\xi_s - \nabla \log \rho(\xi_s)) - e_p \right] ds \right|^p.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking the supremum up to time 1 and the expectation, by Burkholder-Davis-Gundy's inequality [4, Theorem 5.2.4] and Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |S_t|^p \right) \\
& \leq 2^{p-1} \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \left| \int_0^t (2Q^{-1}B\xi_s - \nabla \log \rho(\xi_s))^T \Sigma dW_s \right|^p \right) \\
& \quad + 2^{p-1} \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^1 \left| \frac{1}{2} (2Q^{-1}B\xi_s - \nabla \log \rho(\xi_s))^T Q (2Q^{-1}B\xi_s - \nabla \log \rho(\xi_s)) - e_p \right| ds \right)^p \\
& \leq c_p \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^1 (2Q^{-1}B\xi_s - \nabla \log \rho(\xi_s))^T Q (2Q^{-1}B\xi_s - \nabla \log \rho(\xi_s)) ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
& \quad + 2^{p-1} \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^1 \left| \frac{1}{2} (2Q^{-1}B\xi_s - \nabla \log \rho(\xi_s))^T Q (2Q^{-1}B\xi_s - \nabla \log \rho(\xi_s)) - e_p \right|^p ds \right) \\
& \leq c_p \int_0^1 \mathbb{E} \left| (2Q^{-1}B\xi_s - \nabla \log \rho(\xi_s))^T Q (2Q^{-1}B\xi_s - \nabla \log \rho(\xi_s)) \right|^{\frac{p}{2}} ds \\
& \quad + 2^{p-1} \int_0^1 \mathbb{E} \left| \frac{1}{2} (2Q^{-1}B\xi_s - \nabla \log \rho(\xi_s))^T Q (2Q^{-1}B\xi_s - \nabla \log \rho(\xi_s)) - e_p \right|^p ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Since the p -moments of Gaussian random variables $\{\xi_s\}_{s \in [0,1]}$ are uniformly finite, the most right hand side of above inequality is finite. $\square$

4.2. Proof of Theorem 2.3. The proof is largely inspired by the classical proof of LIL for i.i.d. random variable sequence, see [3, Section 7.5], and some key estimates are heavily based on the MDP results in Theorem 2.2.

Proof of Theorem 2.3. We shall only prove (2.12), and the proof of (2.13) is very similar, which is omitted here. The proof is divided into two steps: upper bound and lower bound. Both of steps are heavily based on the MDP results in Theorem 2.2.

Step 1. (Upper bound). First, we prove the upper bound

$$(4.5) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \sqrt{\frac{t}{2 \log \log t}} (e_p(t) - e_p) \leq \sigma, \quad a.s..$$

Step 1.1. Upper bound of the sequence S_{n_k} , $n_k = \lceil \gamma^k \rceil$, $k \geq 1$ for any given $\gamma > 1$. Sample S_t along a discrete sequence $n_k = \lceil \gamma^k \rceil$ for any given $\gamma > 1$, and prove the upper bound for this sequence in this step.

For any $\theta > 0$, let

$$(4.6) \quad E_{n,\theta} := \left\{ S_n \geq \theta \sigma \sqrt{2n \log \log n} \right\}.$$

Applying Theorem 2.2 to $\lambda(n) = \log \log n$, we have that for any $\theta > 1$, $0 < \varepsilon < \theta - 1$, for any k large enough,

$$\mathbb{P}(E_{n_k,\theta}) \leq \exp\{-(\theta^2 - \varepsilon) \log \log n_k\}.$$

Consequently, as $\log \log n_k \sim \log k$, we have

$$(4.7) \quad \sum_k \mathbb{P}(E_{n_k, \theta}) < +\infty.$$

By the Borel-Cantelli lemma and the arbitrariness of $\theta > 1$, we obtain

$$(4.8) \quad \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{S_{n_k}}{\sqrt{2n_k \log \log n_k}} \leq \sigma \quad a.s..$$

Step 1.2. Comparison between the sequence $\{S_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ and the sequence $\{S_{n_k}\}_{k \geq 1}$. We need to estimate the probability $\mathbb{P}(\bigcup_{j=n_k+1}^{n_{k+1}} E_{j, \theta\gamma})$. For any integer $j \in (n_k, n_{k+1}]$, define

$$(4.9) \quad F_j := \left\{ |S_{n_{k+1}} - S_j| \leq \sqrt{n_{k+1} \log \log k} \sigma \right\}.$$

Then, for any k large enough,

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} E_{n_{k+1}, \theta} &\supseteq \bigcup_{j=n_k+1}^{n_{k+1}} E_{j, \theta\gamma} F_j \\ &= \bigcup_{j=n_k+1}^{n_{k+1}} [(E_{n_{k+1}, \theta\gamma} F_{n_{k+1}})^c \cdots (E_{j-1, \theta\gamma} F_{j-1})^c (E_{j, \theta\gamma} F_j)] \\ &\supseteq \bigcup_{j=n_k+1}^{n_{k+1}} [E_{n_{k+1}, \theta\gamma}^c \cdots E_{j-1, \theta\gamma}^c E_{j, \theta\gamma} F_j]. \end{aligned}$$

Now, let us estimate the probability $\mathbb{P}(E_{n_{k+1}, \theta\gamma}^c \cdots E_{j-1, \theta\gamma}^c E_{j, \theta\gamma} F_j)$ by the Markov property and the moderate deviations result in Theorem 2.2.

Let $\mathcal{F}_t^\xi := \sigma\{\xi_s; 0 \leq s \leq t\}$. By the Markov property of Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process ξ , for any $j \in (n_k, n_{k+1}]$, we have

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(E_{n_{k+1}, \theta\gamma}^c \cdots E_{j-1, \theta\gamma}^c E_{j, \theta\gamma} F_j) &= \int_{E_{n_{k+1}, \theta\gamma}^c \cdots E_{j-1, \theta\gamma}^c E_{j, \theta\gamma}} \mathbb{P}(F_j | \mathcal{F}_j^\xi) d\mathbb{P} \\ &= \int_{E_{n_{k+1}, \theta\gamma}^c \cdots E_{j-1, \theta\gamma}^c E_{j, \theta\gamma}} \mathbb{P}(F_j | \xi_j) d\mathbb{P} \\ &\geq \int_{E_{n_{k+1}, \theta\gamma}^c \cdots E_{j-1, \theta\gamma}^c E_{j, \theta\gamma}} \mathbb{P}(F_j | \xi_j) 1_{[|\xi_j| < j^{\frac{1}{8}}]} d\mathbb{P}. \end{aligned}$$

When $|\xi_j| < j^{\frac{1}{8}}$, for any $j \in [n_{k+1} - \gamma^{\frac{1+k}{4}}, n_{k+1}]$, by Chebychev's inequality and Lemma 4.1, we have

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(F_j | \xi_j) &= \mathbb{P}\left(|S_{n_{k+1}} - S_j| \geq \sqrt{n_{k+1} \log \log k} \sigma \middle| \xi_j\right) \\ &\leq (\sigma^2 n_{k+1} \log \log k)^{-1} \mathbb{E}\left(|S_{n_{k+1}} - S_j|^2 \middle| \xi_j\right) \\ &\leq c(\sigma^2 n_{k+1} \log \log k)^{-1} (1 + \gamma^{\frac{k+1}{2}} + j^{\frac{1}{2}} \gamma^{\frac{k+1}{4}}). \end{aligned}$$

When $|\xi_j| < j^{\frac{1}{8}}$, $j \in (n_k, n_{k+1} - \gamma^{\frac{1+k}{4}}]$, by Chebychev's inequality and Lemma 4.1 again,

(4.13)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(F_j^c | \xi_j) &= \mathbb{P}\left(|S_{n_{k+1}} - S_j| \geq \sqrt{n_{k+1} \log \log k} \sigma \middle| \xi_j\right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(|S_{j+\gamma^{(k+1)/8}} - S_j| \geq \frac{\sigma}{2} \sqrt{n_{k+1} \log \log k} \middle| \xi_j\right) + \mathbb{P}\left(|S_{n_{k+1}} - S_{j+\gamma^{(k+1)/8}}| \geq \frac{\sigma}{2} \sqrt{n_{k+1} \log \log k} \middle| \xi_j\right) \\ &\leq c(\sigma^2 n_{k+1} \log \log k)^{-1} (1 + \gamma^{\frac{k+1}{4}} + j^{\frac{1}{2}} \gamma^{\frac{k+1}{8}}) + \mathbb{P}\left(|S_{n_{k+1}} - S_{j+\gamma^{(k+1)/8}}| \geq \frac{\sigma}{2} \sqrt{n_{k+1} \log \log k} \middle| \xi_j\right). \end{aligned}$$

Next, we shall use the moderate deviations result to estimate the last term. For any $|x| < j^{\frac{1}{8}}$, $t = \gamma^{(k+1)/8}$, the transition probability $P(t; x, \cdot)$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the invariant measure μ , and the Radon-Nikodym derivative

$$f := \frac{dP(t; x, \cdot)}{d\mu} \in L^2(\mu) \text{ and } \|f\|_{L^2(\mu)} \leq l \text{ for some } l > 0.$$

For any $|\xi_j| < j^{\frac{1}{8}}$, applying the MDP in Theorem 2.2, we obtain that for any $j \in [n_k, n_{k+1} - \gamma^{\frac{k+1}{8}}]$ large enough

$$\begin{aligned} (4.14) \quad &\mathbb{P}\left(|S_{n_{k+1}} - S_{j+\gamma^{(k+1)/8}}| \geq \sqrt{n_{k+1} \log \log k} \sigma / 2 \middle| \xi_j\right) \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{P}_{\xi_{j+\gamma^{(k+1)/8}}}\left(|S_{n_{k+1}-j-\gamma^{(k+1)/8}}| \geq \sqrt{n_{k+1} \log \log k} \sigma / 2\right) \middle| \xi_j\right] \\ &\leq \exp\left\{-\frac{n_{k+1} \log \log k}{16(n_{k+1} - j - \gamma^{(k+1)/8})}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By (4.12)-(4.14), for any $|\xi_j| < j^{\frac{1}{8}}$ and for any j large enough,

$$\mathbb{P}(F_j^c | \xi_j) \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

This, together with (4.11), implies that for any k large enough,

$$\mathbb{P}(E_{n_k+1, \theta\gamma}^c \cdots E_{j-1, \theta\gamma}^c E_{j, \theta\gamma} F_j) \geq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{P}\left(E_{n_k+1, \theta\gamma}^c \cdots E_{j-1, \theta\gamma}^c E_{j, \theta\gamma} \cap \{|\xi_j| < j^{\frac{1}{8}}\}\right).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{P}(E_{n_k+1, \theta\gamma}^c \cdots E_{j-1, \theta\gamma}^c E_{j, \theta\gamma}) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(E_{n_k+1, \theta\gamma}^c \cdots E_{j-1, \theta\gamma}^c E_{j, \theta\gamma} \cap \{|\xi_j| < j^{\frac{1}{8}}\}\right) + \mathbb{P}\left(|\xi_j| \geq j^{\frac{1}{8}}\right) \\ &\leq 2\mathbb{P}(E_{n_k+1, \theta\gamma}^c \cdots E_{j-1, \theta\gamma}^c E_{j, \theta\gamma} F_j) + \mathbb{P}\left(|\xi_j| \geq j^{\frac{1}{8}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

First taking sum of j over $(n_k + 1, n_{k+1}]$, and then taking sum of k over $\mathbb{N}$, by (4.10), we have

$$\sum_{k \geq 1} \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{j=n_k+1}^{n_{k+1}} E_{j, \theta\gamma}\right) \leq 2 \sum_{k \geq 1} \mathbb{P}(E_{n_k+1, \theta}) + \sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{j=n_k+1}^{n_{k+1}} \mathbb{P}(|\xi_j| \geq j^{\frac{1}{8}}),$$

which is finite by (4.7) and the fact that the law of ξ_n is identical to the invariant Gaussian measure μ . Consequently by the Borel-Cantelli lemma, we have

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\bigcup_{j=n_k+1}^{n_{k+1}} E_{j,\theta\gamma} \text{ i.o.} \right) = 0,$$

which is equivalent to that

$$\mathbb{P}(E_{n,\theta\gamma} \text{ i.o.}) = 0.$$

By the arbitrariness of $\theta, \gamma \in (1, +\infty)$, we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{S_n}{\sqrt{2n \log \log n}} \leq \sigma \quad a.s..$$

Step 1.3. Comparison between the sequence $\{S_t\}_{t \geq 1, t \in \mathbb{R}}$ and the sequence $\{S_n\}_{n \geq 1, n \in \mathbb{N}}$. For any $\varepsilon > 0, n \in \mathbb{N}$, by Lemma 4.2 and Chebyshev's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P} \left(\sup_{n < t \leq n+1} \frac{|S_t - S_n|}{\sqrt{2n \log \log n}} > \varepsilon \right) \\ & \leq (2\varepsilon^2 n \log \log n)^{-2} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{n < t \leq n+1} |S_t - S_n| \right]^4 \\ & \leq (2\varepsilon^2 n \log \log n)^{-2} c_4. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we have

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{P} \left(\sup_{n < t \leq n+1} \frac{|S_t - S_n|}{\sqrt{2n \log \log n}} > \varepsilon \right) < +\infty.$$

By the Borel-Cantelli lemma, we obtain

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{n < t \leq n+1} \frac{|S_t - S_n|}{\sqrt{2n \log \log n}} \leq \varepsilon \quad a.s..$$

Due to the arbitrariness of $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\limsup_{\mathbb{R} \ni t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{S_t}{\sqrt{2t \log \log t}} \leq \limsup_{\mathbb{N} \ni n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{S_n}{\sqrt{2n \log \log n}} \quad a.s.,$$

which implies the upper bound (4.5) holds.

Step 2. (Lower bound). In this step, we shall prove that: the sequence $\{S_{n_k}\}_{k \geq 1}$, where $n_k = \rho^k$ for any given $\rho > 4$, satisfies that

$$(4.15) \quad \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{S_{n_k}}{\sqrt{2n_k \log \log n_k}} \geq (1 - 1/\rho - 1/\sqrt{\rho})\sigma, \quad a.s..$$

By the arbitrariness of $\rho > 4$, we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{S_n}{\sqrt{2n \log \log n}} \geq \sigma \quad a.s.$$

For any $\theta \in (0, 1)$, let

$$G_{k,\theta} := \{S_{n_k} - S_{n_{k-1}+k} \geq \theta\sigma \sqrt{2n_k \log \log n_k}\}$$

and

$$H_{k,\theta} := \{|S_{n_{k-1}+k}| \geq \theta\sigma\sqrt{2n_k \log \log n_k}\}.$$

Recall $E_{n,\theta}$ defined in (4.6). For any $k \geq 1$, $1/\sqrt{\rho} < \theta_2 \leq \theta_1 < 1 - 1/\rho$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_{k,\theta_1} &\subset \left\{ S_{n_k} - S_{n_{k-1}+k} \geq \theta_1\sigma\sqrt{2n_k \log \log n_k}, |S_{n_{k-1}+k}| < \theta_2\sigma\sqrt{2n_k \log \log n_k} \right\} \\ &\cup \left\{ |S_{n_{k-1}+k}| \geq \theta_2\sigma\sqrt{2n_k \log \log n_k} \right\} \\ &\subset E_{n_k,\theta_1-\theta_2} \cup H_{k,\theta_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the union of the terms from k to ∞ , we have

$$\bigcup_{j=k}^{\infty} G_{j,\theta_1} \subset \left(\bigcup_{j=k}^{\infty} E_{n_j,\theta_1-\theta_2} \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{j=k}^{\infty} H_{j,\theta_2} \right).$$

Then

$$(4.16) \quad \mathbb{P} \left(\bigcup_{j=k}^{\infty} G_{j,\theta_1} \right) \leq \mathbb{P} \left(\bigcup_{j=k}^{\infty} E_{n_j,\theta_1-\theta_2} \right) + \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \mathbb{P} (H_{j,\theta_2}).$$

Now we estimate those probabilities.

Step 2.1. Estimate of $\mathbb{P} \left(\bigcup_{j=k}^{\infty} G_{j,\theta_1} \right)$. For any $m > k$, by the Markov property of ξ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (4.17) \quad \mathbb{P} \left(\bigcap_{j=k}^m G_{j,\theta_1}^c \right) &= \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbb{P} \left(\bigcap_{j=k}^m G_{j,\theta_1}^c \mid \mathcal{F}_{n_{m-1}}^\xi \right) \right] = \int_{\bigcap_{j=k}^{m-1} G_{j,\theta_1}^c} \mathbb{P} (G_{m,\theta_1}^c \mid \xi_{n_{m-1}}) d\mathbb{P} \\ &\leq \mathbb{P} (|\xi_{n_{m-1}}| > m) + \int_{\bigcap_{j=k}^{m-1} G_{j,\theta_1}^c} \mathbb{P} (G_{m,\theta_1}^c \mid \xi_{n_{m-1}}) 1_{[|\xi_{n_{m-1}}| \leq m]} d\mathbb{P}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the law of stationary process ξ_n is identical to the Gaussian measure μ defined in (2.4), there exist constants $c_1 > 0, c_2 > 0$ such that

$$(4.18) \quad \mathbb{P} (|\xi_{n_{m-1}}| > m) \leq c_1 \exp\{-c_2 m^2\} \quad \text{for all } m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Next, we shall use the moderate deviations result to estimate the last term in (4.17). For any $|x| \leq m$, the transition probability $P(m; x, \cdot)$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the invariant measure μ , and the Radon-Nikodym derivative

$$f := \frac{dP(m; x, \cdot)}{d\mu} \in L^2(\mu) \text{ and } \|f\|_{L^2(\mu)} \leq l \quad \text{for some } l > 0.$$

For any $|\xi_{n_{m-1}}| \leq m$, applying the MDP in Theorem 2.2, we obtain that for any m large enough

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}(G_{m,\theta_1} | \xi_{n_{m-1}}) \\ &= \mathbb{P}\left(S_{n_m} - S_{n_{m-1}+m} \geq \theta_1 \sigma \sqrt{2n_m \log \log n_m} \mid \xi_{n_{m-1}}\right) \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{P}_{\xi_{n_{m-1}+m}}\left(S_{n_m - n_{m-1} - m} \geq \theta_1 \sigma \sqrt{2n_m \log \log n_m}\right) \mid \xi_{n_{m-1}}\right] \\ &\geq \exp\left\{-\frac{\theta_1 n_m \log \log n_m}{n_m - n_{m-1} - m}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

For any m large enough, $\log \log n_m = \log \log \rho^m \sim \log m$, then the above inequality implies that

$$(4.19) \quad \mathbb{P}(G_{m,\theta_1}^c | \xi_{n_{m-1}}) \leq 1 - m^{-\frac{\theta_1}{1-1/\rho}} \quad \text{for all } |\xi_{n_{m-1}}| \leq m.$$

Putting (4.17)-(4.19) together and iterating this procedure, we obtain that for any $m > k$ large enough,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{j=k}^m G_{j,\theta_1}^c\right) \leq \prod_{j=k}^m (1 - j^{-\frac{\theta_1}{1-1/\rho}}) + \sum_{j=k}^m c_1 \exp\{-c_2 j^2\}.$$

Since $\theta_1/(1 - 1/\rho) < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{j=k}^{\infty} G_{j,\theta_1}\right) &\geq 1 - \prod_{j=k}^{\infty} (1 - j^{-\frac{\theta_1}{1-1/\rho}}) - \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} c_1 \exp\{-c_2 j^2\} \\ &= 1 - \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} c_1 \exp\{-c_2 j^2\}. \end{aligned}$$

For any $n \geq 1$,

$$(4.20) \quad \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{j=n}^{\infty} G_{j,\theta_1}\right) \geq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{j=k}^{\infty} G_{j,\theta_1}\right) \geq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 - \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} c_1 \exp\{-c_2 j^2\}\right) = 1.$$

Step 2.2. Estimate of $\mathbb{P}(H_{j,\theta_2})$. Applying the MDP in Theorem 2.2 to $\lambda(n) = \log \log n$, for any given $\varepsilon \in (0, \theta^2 - 1/\rho)$, it holds that for any k large enough

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(H_{k,\theta_2}) &= \mathbb{P}\left(|S_{n_{k-1}+k}| \geq \theta_2 \sigma \sqrt{2n_k \log \log n_k}\right) \\ &\leq \exp\left\{-\frac{(\theta_2^2 - \varepsilon)n_k \log \log n_k}{(n_{k-1} + k)}\right\} \\ &\sim \exp\left\{-(\theta_2^2 - \varepsilon)\rho \log k\right\} \quad \text{for large } k, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the facts $\log \log n_k = \log \log \rho^k \sim \log k$ and $n_k/(n_{k-1} + k) \sim \rho$ for large k . Since $(\theta^2 - \varepsilon)\rho > 1$,

$$(4.21) \quad \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(H_{j,\theta_2}) \leq \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} j^{-(\theta_2^2 - \varepsilon)\rho} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Putting (4.16), (4.20) and (4.21) together, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{j=n}^{\infty} E_{n_j, \theta_1 - \theta_2}\right) &\geq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{j=k}^{\infty} E_{n_j, \theta_1 - \theta_2}\right) \\ &\geq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{j=k}^{\infty} G_{j, \theta_1}\right) - \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(H_{j, \theta_2}) \right) \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

The above inequalities implies that

$$\mathbb{P}(E_{n_k, \theta_1 - \theta_2} \text{ i.o.}) = 1,$$

which implies that

$$(4.22) \quad \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{S_{n_k}}{\sqrt{2n_k \log \log n_k}} \geq (\theta_1 - \theta_2)\sigma, \quad a.s..$$

By the arbitrariness of $1/\sqrt{\rho} < \theta_2 < \theta_1 < 1 - 1/\rho$, the lower bound (4.15) holds. The proof is complete. $\square$

Acknowledgments: R. Wang thanks the Faculty of Science and Technology, University of Macau, for inviting and supporting. He is supported by Natural Science Foundation of China 11301498, 11431014 and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities. L. Xu is supported by the grant SRG2013-00064-FST. Both of the authors are supported by the research project RDAO/RTO/0386-SF/2014.

REFERENCES

1. Bakry D., Gentil I., Ledoux M. (2014). *Analysis and geometry of Markov diffusion operators*. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], 348. Springer, Cham.
2. Chojnowska-Michalik A., Goldys B. (2002). Symmetric Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroups and their generators. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, 486, 459-486. doi:10.1007/s004400200222
3. Chung K.L. (2001) *A course in probability theory*. Third edition. Academic Press.
4. Da Prato G., Zabczyk J. (1996). *Ergodicity for infinite-dimensional systems*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series. 229, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
5. Dembo A., Zeitouni O. (2000). *Large deviations techniques and applications*, Springer-Verlag, New York.
6. Fuhman M. (1998). Hypercontractivity properties of nonsymmetric Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroups in Hilbert spaces. *Stochastic Analysis and Applications*, 16(2), 241-260. doi:10.1080/07362999808809531
7. Ge H. (2014). Stochastic theory of nonequilibrium statistical physics. *Advances in Mathematics (China)* 43, 161-174.

8. Ge H., Jiang D.-Q. (2007). The transient fluctuation theorem of sample entropy production for general stochastic processes. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 40(30), F713-F723. doi:10.1088/1751-8113/40/30/F04
9. Jaksic V., Nersesyan V., Pillet C.-A., Shirikyan A. Large deviations and Gallavotti Cohen principle for dissipative PDE's with rough noise, to appear in *Comm. Math. Phys.*
10. Jiang D.-Q., Qian M., Qian M.P. (2004). *Mathematical theory of nonequilibrium steady: on the frontier of probability and dynamical systems*, Volume 1833, *Lecture Notes in Math.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin and Herdelberg.
11. Jiang D.-Q., Qian M., Zhang F.-X. (2003). Entropy production fluctuations of finite Markov chains. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 44(9), 4176. doi:10.1063/1.1581971
12. Lebowitz J.L., Spohn H. (1999). A Gallavotti-Cohen-Type symmetry in the large deviation functional for stochastic dynamics. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 95(1/2), 333-365. doi:0022-4715/99/0400-0333
13. Maes C. (1999). The fluctuation theorem as a Gibbs property, *J. Stat. Phys.* 95, 367-392.
14. Qian H. (2001). Mathematical formalism for isothermal linear irreversibility. *Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 457, 1645-1655. doi:10.1098/rspa.2001.0811
15. Revuz D., Yor M. (1999). *Continuous martingales and Brownian motion*. Third edition. *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*, 293. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
16. Tome T., de Oliveira M.J. (2012). Entropy Production in Nonequilibrium Systems at Stationary States, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 108, 020601
17. Touchette H. (2009). The large deviation approach to statistical mechanics. *Physics Reports*, 478(1-3), 1-69. doi:10.1016/j.physrep.2009.05.002
18. Wang F.-Y. (2004). *Functional Inequalities, Markov Processes and Spectral Theory*, Science Press, Beijing.
19. Wu L.-M. (1995). Moderate deviations of dependent random variables related to CLT. *The Annals of Probability*, 23(1), 420-445. doi:10.1214/aop/1176988393.
20. Wu L.-M. (2000). A deviation inequality for non-reversible Markov processes. *Annales de Institut Henri Poincare (B) Probability and Statistics*, 36(4), 435-445. doi:10.1016/S0246-0203(00)00135-7

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF CHINA, HEFEI, CHINA.

E-mail address: wanggran@ustc.edu.cn

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, UNIVERSITY OF MACAU, TAIPA, MACAU.

E-mail address: lihuxu@umac.mo